

**Joint Meeting of the Landmark Commission and Architectural Board of Review**  
May 28, 2008

Landmark Commission members present: Councilman B. Gleisser, N. Kennedy, M. Hayes, S. Beimers, R. Reed, Kevin Dreyfuss Wells; Architectural Board of Review: S. Madison, J. Neville. Staff: S. Beck

This observer arrived late and the meeting was underway to discuss a Certificate of Appropriateness (CA) for a property at 19411 Winslow Road – New Garage. The owner was represented by Edgar Acosta, contractor. This property is located in the Winslow Road Local Landmark District in the City and requires a CA approved by both the Landmark Commission (LC) and the Architectural Board of Review (ABR). Members of both the LC and ABR reviewed the garage designs, materials and colors to be used and compared them to the current home and the potential impact of the garage on the District. The garage will be a detached 3-car garage visible from the street. Colors for the garage were selected from the Shaker guideline book to complement the house and the roof color. There was a lengthy discussion regarding the exposed concrete where the foundation meets the walls. The final decision was made to allow exposure of the concrete instead of requiring a brick veneer or solid brick façade because of potential structural incompatibilities. The CA was awarded with recommendations from both the LC and ABR.

The Status of Designation process was discussed regarding the Wentworth G. Marshall House on the corner of Lee and South Woodland roads. Ms. Beck reviewed the criteria for designation and noted that the house no longer meets all the original designation criteria. It meets three points, but has missing timbering detail, unapproved roofing design and unapproved windows. She noted that the properties as a group are historically significant, but said the architectural criteria that would make the properties significant as a designated landmark must be reviewed and defined. The question was asked if the other three properties meet the current architectural criteria for designation; Ms. Beck responded that the answer was unknown at this point. No decision was made about whether to proceed with designation.

Other Business included setting the next agenda for the LC. They plan to review the revised version of the Shaker Village Handbook.

The meeting adjourned at 8:40 p.m.  
*Helen Sheehan, 752-6831*